

Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active.

Journal Pre-proof

COVID-19 Booster Exemption for Severe Dyshidrotic Eczema

Kathryn Bentivegna, MPH, Marti J. Rothe, MD, Jane M. Grant Kels, MD

PII: S0190-9622(22)02224-1

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaad.2022.06.1176

Reference: YMJD 16978

To appear in: Journal of the American Academy of Dermatology

Received Date: 2 May 2022
Revised Date: 2 June 2022
Accepted Date: 20 June 2022



Please cite this article as: Bentivegna K, Rothe MJ, Grant Kels JM, COVID-19 Booster Exemption for Severe Dyshidrotic Eczema, *Journal of the American Academy of Dermatology* (2022), doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaad.2022.06.1176.

This is a PDF file of an article that has undergone enhancements after acceptance, such as the addition of a cover page and metadata, and formatting for readability, but it is not yet the definitive version of record. This version will undergo additional copyediting, typesetting and review before it is published in its final form, but we are providing this version to give early visibility of the article. Please note that, during the production process, errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

© 2022 Published by Elsevier on behalf of the American Academy of Dermatology, Inc.

Journal Pre-proof

1 COVID-19 Booster Exemption for Severe Dyshidrotic Eczema

- 2 Kathryn Bentivegna MPH^a, Marti J. Rothe MD^b, Jane M. Grant Kels MD^{b,c*}
- ^a University of Connecticut School of Medicine, Farmington, CT; ^b Department of
- 4 Dermatology, University of Connecticut School of Medicine, Conn Health, Farmington,
- 5 CT; ^c Department of Dermatology, University of Florida College of Medicine, Gainesville,
- 6 *FL*

7

- 8 *corresponding author:
- 9 Jane M. Grant-Kels, MD
- 10 Dermatology Department, University of CT School of Medicine
- 11 21 South Rd
- 12 Farmington, CT 06032, USA
- 13 grant@uchc.edu

14

15

- 16 Funding sources: None
- 17 Conflicts of Interest: Ms. Bentivegna, Dr. Rothe and Dr. Grant-Kels have no relevant
- 18 conflicts of interest to declare.
- 19 Word Count: 500
- 20 References: 5
- 21 IRB approval status: Not applicable.
- Key words: COVID-19 booster; eczema flare; atopic dermatitis; dyshidrosis; vaccination
- 23 exemption; ethics; informed consent

24

- 25 Dear Dr. Dermatoethicist.
- A 24-year-old male healthcare worker with a dyshidrosis history requested I sign a
- 27 COVID-19 booster medical exemption form. His worplace has mandated he receive this
- booster. Despite previous years of resolution, following his second COVID-19 vaccine,
- 29 he developed an acute, severe pruritic and painful vesicular eruption affecting the entire
- palmar surface bilaterally requiring him to wear cotton gloves; he was unable to use
- latex gloves and hand sanitizer for patient care. Now with partial resolution, he is
- concerned that the booster will cause another flare resulting in his inability to fulfill his
- clinical obligations. Is signing his booster exemption ethical?

- Concerned physician 34 35 Dear Concerned Physician: 36 Per the Center for Disease Control, eczema is not a true contraindication for 37 vaccination, unlike a vaccine component allergy. COVID-19 vaccine-associated 38 cutaneous reactions are self-limited; there is no robust data suggesting underlying skin 39 disease is exacerbated by COVID-19 vaccination. However, the National Eczema 40 Society has acknowledged multiple patient reports of worsening eczema following 41 COVID-19 vaccination and published reports documenting eczematous reactions to the 42 vaccine in patients with prior disease. 1-3 Thus, there may be a lack of understanding 43 regarding the potential for multiple vaccine components or the antigen itself to be a 44 trigger. Recommending vaccination in these individuals at theoretical risk for cutaneous 45 reactions, who could otherwise be low risk for COVID complications, may violate the 46 47 principle of **non-maleficence**. 48 Conversely, given the polymorphic skin eruptions following COVID-19 infection, there is also the possibility that forgoing the booster increases risk for an eczema flare if the 49 patient becomes infected with COVID-19. The patient's role as a healthcare worker 50 places him at significant risk of COVID-19 infection potentially triggering a similar or 51 52 worse flare than previously experienced. An infected unboosted healthcare worker could expose patients to COVID-19, resulting in increased morbidity and mortality to 53

Acknowledging the uncertainty of whether the dyshidrosis flare was attributable to the vaccine is recommended. Given the reaction could plausibly be related to a vaccine component or the spike protein, the patient's reluctance is understandable despite the established benefit of the booster protecting against severe COVID-19 illness.⁴ The patient's youth and lack of comorbid medical conditions suggests he is at low risk for developing severe illness. Additionally, new COVID-19 variants may only be marginally

others. Therefore, granting the vaccine exception places the dermatologist in an ethical

conflict: a physician's role to uphold the public good versus to uphold their patient's

right to **autonomously** decide whether to vaccinate or not.

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

Journal Pre-proof

- benefitted by the booster.⁵ Due to these equivocal factors, it is less convincing that the
- benefits of the booster outweigh the potential adverse outcomes including a dyshidrosis
- 65 flare, loss of work, and lack of decision-making **autonomy** for the patient. However,
- when considering that the patient's role as a healthcare worker, who would expose
- other patient's to COVID-19 if he was to become infected, signing the exception for a
- 68 non-life threatening illness could result in increased morbidity and mortality for others.
- 69 Given these considerations, granting the COVID vaccine booster exemption is not
- recommended on behalf of patients and the public good.

71

72

REFERENCES

- 1. Leasure AC, Cowper SE, McNiff J, Cohen JM. Generalized eczematous reactions to
- the Pfizer-BioNTech COVID-19 vaccine. *J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol*. 2021;35(11):e716-e717. doi:10.1111/jdv.17494
- 2. Potestio L, Napolitano M, Bennardo L, Fabbrocini G, Patruno C. Atopic dermatitis
- exacerbation after Covid-19 vaccination in Dupilumab-treated patients. *Journal of*
- the European Academy of Dermatology and Venereology. n/a(n/a).
- 79 doi:10.1111/jdv.17964
- 3. Corbeddu M, Diociaiuti A, Vinci M r., et al. Transient cutaneous manifestations after
- administration of Pfizer-BioNTech COVID-19 Vaccine: an Italian single-centre case
- series. Journal of the European Academy of Dermatology and Venereology.
- 83 2021;35(8):e483-e485. doi:10.1111/jdv.17268
- 4. Thompson MG. Effectiveness of a Third Dose of mRNA Vaccines Against COVID-
- 19–Associated Emergency Department and Urgent Care Encounters and
- Hospitalizations Among Adults During Periods of Delta and Omicron Variant
- Predominance VISION Network, 10 States, August 2021–January 2022. MMWR
- 88 *Morb Mortal Wkly Rep.* 2022;71. doi:10.15585/mmwr.mm7104e3
- 5. Watson C. Three, four or more: what's the magic number for booster shots? *Nature*.
- 90 2022;602(7895):17-18. doi:10.1038/d41586-022-00200-9